

EFFECTS OF SEED TREATMENT ON SEED QUALITY AND STORABILITY IN WHEAT (*TRITICUM AESTIVUM* L.) IN NORTH WESTERN HIMALAYAS

ANUBHAV THAKUR¹, KC DHIMAN² AND RAJESH KANWAR³

*Department of Seed Science and Technology, CSKHPKV Palampur
CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyala, Palampur-176062, India*

Keywords: Wheat, Seed treatment, Storability, Seed quality

Abstract

Storage experiment was conducted to study effects of polymer, fungicides and insecticide on seed quality parameters and storability in wheat. Experimental material consisted of *carry over* wheat seeds (variety HPW-155) of *rabi* 2017 - 18. Different parameters namely, germination, seedling length, dry weight, vigour index - I, vigour - II, speed of germination, field emergence, 100-seed weight for 12 months of storage were recorded bimonthly. All parameters were found to decrease with the advancement in storage period. Results showed that seeds treated with polymer + vitavax 200 @ 2 g/kg of seed recorded higher germination percentage (95.00), seedling length (17.58 cm), seedling dry weight (0.0138 g), vigour index - I (1670) and vigour - II (1.311), speed of germination (19.98), 100-seed weight (5.54 g). Field emergence (87.33) were at par with vitavax 200 @ 2 g/kg of seed over untreated control. For the purpose of maintaining seed quality and enhancing storability, seed of wheat can either be treated with polymer @ 3 ml/kg of seed + vitavax 200 @ 2 g/kg of seed or vitavax 200 @ 2 g/kg of seed.

Introduction

Quality seed, being the basic input in agriculture, plays an important role in realizing optimum yield and productivity of any crop. Seed with high quality and vigour will not only help in achieving optimum plant population in the field but also result in vigorous seedling, which can surpass the initial abiotic and biotic stresses to significant extent. Deterioration of seed during storage is inevitable and leads to different changes at various levels *viz.*, impairment or shift in metabolic activity, compositional changes, decline or change in enzyme activities, phenotypic, cytological changes apart from quantitative losses. The rate of seed deterioration can be slowed down either by storing the seeds under controlled or by treating the seeds with various seed treating chemicals. As the controlled conditions involve huge cost, seed treatment remains the best alternative approach to maintain the seed quality. Recently, various quality enhancement treatments are given to seed before storage and sowing. Among these, seed treatment is one of the techniques wherein external materials, *viz.*, polymers, fungicides and insecticide are applied directly on the seed to enhance the quality and production potential of seed without significantly increasing the size or weight of the seed and obscuring the seed shape (Kumar 2007). The polymer film coat provides protection from the stress imposed by accelerated ageing, which includes fungal invasion. The coat is thin (8 µm), simple to apply, diffuses rapidly and non-toxic to the seedlings during germination. It improves plant stand and emergence of seeds, helps in accurate application of the chemical, reduces chemical wastage and helps to make room for all required ingredients, protectants, nutrients, plant growth promoters, hydrophobic / hydrophilic substance and oxygen suppliers etc. By encasing the seed within a thin film of biodegradable polymer, the adherence of seed treating chemicals to seed can be improved, ensures dust free handling, making treated seed both useful and environment friendly. Currently seed treating polymer are being used by seed

*Author for correspondence: <anubhavthakur97@gmail.com>.

companies along with other active ingredients such as insecticides and fungicides. This help in improving the resistance of seeds towards pest and diseases in the much warranted juvenile stage, besides improves the seedling vigor and ultimately the plant stand and yield.

In north western Himalayas region, the information on storability of carry over wheat seeds is inadequate and the quality of wheat seed deteriorates mainly due to storage of untreated seed, storage conditions and the packaging materials used for storage of seed. Thus the present study was conducted to investigate effects of seed treatment on seed quality and storability in wheat.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at the Department of Seed Science and Technology, CSKHPKV Palampur. Experimental material was wheat seed (variety HPW -155) of *rabi* 2017 - 18. The experiment was conducted under a completely randomized design (CRD) and each treatment was replicated three times. Seeds were stored in high density polyethylene bags (HDPE). The seed quality parameters were evaluated at bimonthly interval for twelve months from December 2018 to November 2019. The seeds were drawn at random from each treatment bag. Germination test was conducted using 100-seeds in four replications by adopting blotter paper method (Anonymous 1999). Seeds were incubated in germinator at a temperature $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ and RH of 90 %. Normal germinated seeds were counted on 4th and 8th day after incubation and total germination percentage was calculated using the following formula -

$$\% \text{ Germination} = (\text{Number of normal germinated seeds} / \text{Total number of seeds}) \times 100$$

Speed of germination was determined on the basis of daily germination count and was calculated by the following formula.

$$\text{Speed of germination} = n_1/d_1 + n_2/d_2 + n_3/d_3 + \dots$$

Where, n - number of germinated seeds and d - number of days.

Ten normal seedlings on 8th day of germination test were selected randomly from each replication of the treatment for measuring the seedling length and the average was worked out in centimeters. The same seedlings were then used for measurement of seedling dry weight. The seedlings were put in butter paper bag and placed in hot air oven at 80°C for 24 hrs. The dry weight of the seedlings was recorded and expressed in grams per seedling. The seedling vigour index - I and II were calculated by adopting the method suggested by Abdul Baki and Anderson (1973).

Field emergence count was taken on the 14th day after sowing and the emergence percentage was calculated taking into account the number of seedlings emerging above the soil surface. Moisture content of seeds was recorded in percentage using a moisture meter (Non - Destructive PM 600).

The laboratory data were statistically analyzed using software OPSTAT (Sheoran *et al.* 1998). The data on germination (%) and field emergence (%) were transformed into arc sine root percentage and transformed data were used for statistical analysis.

Results and Discussion

Most of seed quality parameters declined with the advancement of storage period. Mean germination percentage in the beginning and end of storage period was 96.30 and 92.27%, respectively (Table 2). The reduction in seed germination over time could be due to the reduction in enzyme activity within the seed. Initially significantly highest germination percentage was recorded in T₆ - (98.66 %) which was at par with T₅ - (98.00%), followed by T₈ - (96.66%), T₁₀ - (96.66 %), T₃ - (96.00%), T₂ - (95.33%), T₉ - (95.00%) and T₄ - (95.00 %). Lowest was recorded

in T₁ - (95.00 %). The same pattern was recorded till six months. At 8th month the trend varied and significantly highest germination (%) was recorded for T₆ - (95.67 %) which was at par with T₅ - (95.00 %), followed by T₈ - (94.33 %) compared to control (91.00 %). The same pattern was recorded till the end of storage period. At the end of storage, significantly highest germination percentage was recorded for T₆ - (95.00 %) which was at par with T₅ - (94.33 %) while lowest was recorded in T₁ (89.00%). Record of the higher germination percentage in seeds treated with fungicides was due to increase in rate of imbibitions where the fine particles in the treatment act as moisture attracting material to improve germination. The polymer treatment on seeds acts as a physical barrier that has been reported to reduce leaching of inhibitors from the seed covering and may restrict oxygen diffusion to the embryo. The results are in accordance with the finding of Parihar *et al.* (2019) in okra and Kotia (2020) in radish.

Table 1. Details of different seed treatment employed in the study.

T ₁	Control (Untreated seeds)
T ₂	Polymer coating (Polykote @ 3 ml/kg) of seed, diluted with 5 ml of water)
T ₃	Flowable thiram (Royal flow 40SC) @ 2.4 ml/kg
T ₄	Polymer + flowable thiram (Royal flow 40 SC) @ 2.4 ml/kg seed
T ₅	Vitavax 200 (containing thiram 37.5% and carboxil 37.5%) @ 2 g/kg seed
T ₆	Polymer + vitavax 200 (containing thiram, 37.5% and carboxil, 37.5%) @ 2 g/kg of seed
T ₇	Imidacloprid (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/kg seed
T ₈	Polymer + flowable thiram (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/kg seed
T ₉	Polymer + flowable thiram (Royal flow 40 SC) @ 2.4 ml/kg seed + imidacloprid (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/kg seed
T ₁₀	Polymer + vitavax 200 (containing thiram, 37.5% and carboxil, 37.5%) @ 2 g/kg of seed + imidacloprid (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/kg seed.

The speed of germination varied significantly throughout the storage period till the end. Irrespective of different seed treatments, the speed of germination continued to decrease from initial to last month of the storage. On an average, the speed of germination in the beginning and end of the storage period was 20.65 and 18.83, respectively (Table 2). Initially higher speed of germination was recorded in T₆ (21.42) which was at par with T₅ (21.40), followed by T₈ (20.49), T₇ (20.49), T₁₀ (20.48) and T₃ (20.47), followed by T₂ (20.45), which was at par with T₉ (20.45), and T₄ (20.45) as compared to untreated control (20.45). The same pattern was recorded till six months of storage and after 8th month, significantly higher speed of germination was recorded for T₆ (21.00) which was at par with T₅ (20.98), followed by T₈ (19.95) as compared to T₁ control (19.50). The same pattern was recorded till end of storage period. Speed of germination decreased with the increase in storage period. The reduction in speed of germination of seeds might be due to restricted oxygen supply to the embryo and retention of water soluble germination inhibitors. Similar findings were reported by Padhi *et al.* (2017) and Sharma and Dhiman (2017) in paddy.

Irrespective of different seed treatments, the seedling length (cm) decreased with the advancement in the storage period. Mean seedling length recorded at the beginning and the end of storage period was 18.93 cm and 16.94 cm, respectively (Table 3). The decline in seedling length might be attributed to age induced decline in germination, the damage caused by accumulation of toxic metabolites which might have hindered the seedling growth. Initially significantly highest seedling length was recorded for T₆ (18.99 cm) which was at par with T₅ (18.98 cm), followed by

Table 2. Effect of different seed treatment on germination and speed of germination in different months of storage.

Treatments	Months after storage													
	% Germination in different months						Speed of germination in different months							
	Initial	2	4	6	8	10	12	Initial	2	4	6	8	10	12
T ₁ (control)	95.00 (77.04)	93.66 (75.40)	93.00 (74.63)	93.00 (74.63)	91.00 (72.51)	90.00 (71.54)	89.00 (70.60)	20.45	20.40	20.34	20.20	19.50	19.00	18.10
T ₂	95.33 (77.50)	94.33 (76.21)	93.66 (75.40)	93.33 (75.02)	92.33 (73.90)	91.66 (73.20)	91.33 (72.86)	20.45	20.42	20.35	20.22	19.85	19.2	18.43
T ₃	96.00 (78.43)	94.66 (76.62)	94.33 (76.21)	94.00 (75.79)	93.33 (75.02)	92.66 (74.27)	92.00 (73.54)	20.47	20.43	20.37	20.23	19.87	19.4	18.50
T ₄	95.00 (77.04)	94.00 (75.79)	93.33 (75.02)	93.00 (74.63)	92.00 (73.54)	91.33 (72.86)	91.00 (72.51)	20.45	20.41	20.35	20.21	19.83	19.18	18.41
T ₅	98.00 (81.83)	96.00 (78.49)	96.00 (78.43)	95.66 (77.97)	95.00 (77.04)	94.33 (76.21)	94.33 (76.21)	21.4	21.33	21.23	21.13	20.98	20.48	19.96
T ₆	98.66 (83.43)	97.00 (79.99)	97.00 (79.99)	96.66 (79.47)	95.67 (77.97)	95.00 (77.05)	95.00 (77.05)	21.42	21.35	21.25	21.15	21.00	20.5	19.98
T ₇	96.66 (79.47)	95.33 (77.51)	95.00 (77.05)	94.33 (76.21)	94.00 (75.79)	93.33 (75.02)	93.00 (74.63)	20.49	20.44	20.38	20.25	19.93	19.58	18.93
T ₈	96.66 (79.47)	95.66 (76.97)	95.33 (77.51)	95.00 (77.04)	94.33 (76.21)	93.66 (75.40)	93.33 (75.02)	20.49	20.45	20.39	20.26	19.95	19.6	18.95
T ₉	95.00 (77.04)	94.33 (76.21)	93.33 (75.02)	93.33 (75.02)	92.00 (73.54)	91.66 (73.20)	91.33 (72.86)	20.45	20.41	20.35	20.21	19.84	19.19	18.42
T ₁₀	96.66 (79.47)	95.33 (77.51)	94.66 (76.63)	94.33 (76.21)	93.33 (75.02)	92.67 (74.27)	92.33 (73.90)	20.48	20.43	20.38	20.24	19.90	19.44	18.6
Mean	96.30 (78.87)	95.03 (77.08)	94.56 (76.48)	94.26 (76.10)	93.30 (74.96)	92.63 (74.21)	92.27 (73.82)	20.65	20.60	20.54	20.41	20.06	19.56	18.83
SE (m±)	0.408	0.415	0.445	0.337	0.286	0.33	0.263	0.008	0.007	0.01	0.012	0.008	0.007	0.007
CD (5%)	1.21	1.23	1.33	1	0.85	0.98	0.78	0.023	0.022	0.031	0.035	0.022	0.021	0.02

Figure in parentheses indicated arc sine value.

Table 3. Effect of different seed treatments on seedling length (cm) and dry weight (g) in wheat during storage at different months.

Treatments	Months after storage													
	Seedling length (cm)						Seedling dry weight (g)							
	Initial	2	4	6	8	10	12	Initial	2	4	6	8	10	12
T ₁ (control)	18.90	18.87	18.84	18.74	17.60	16.90	15.12	0.0164	0.0162	0.0156	0.0154	0.0134	0.0123	0.0109
T ₂	18.91	18.88	18.85	18.75	17.89	17.56	16.86	0.0165	0.0163	0.0158	0.0156	0.0142	0.0131	0.0120
T ₃	18.92	18.89	18.86	18.77	17.95	17.63	16.93	0.0167	0.0164	0.0160	0.0158	0.0145	0.0135	0.0124
T ₄	18.90	18.87	18.84	18.74	17.85	17.53	16.83	0.0165	0.0163	0.0156	0.0154	0.0141	0.0129	0.0118
T ₅	18.98	18.94	18.90	18.84	18.30	17.90	17.55	0.0171	0.0170	0.0167	0.0163	0.0154	0.0146	0.0136
T ₆	18.99	18.95	18.93	18.86	18.32	17.94	17.58	0.0173	0.0171	0.0169	0.0166	0.0156	0.0147	0.0138
T ₇	18.94	18.91	18.88	18.79	18.22	17.78	17.32	0.0167	0.0166	0.0162	0.0160	0.0151	0.0141	0.0130
T ₈	18.95	18.91	18.89	18.80	18.26	17.82	17.37	0.0169	0.0167	0.0164	0.0161	0.0152	0.0143	0.0132
T ₉	18.90	18.87	18.85	18.74	17.87	17.55	16.85	0.0165	0.0163	0.0157	0.0155	0.0142	0.0131	0.0119
T ₁₀	18.94	18.90	18.88	18.78	18.00	17.70	17.00	0.0167	0.0166	0.0161	0.0159	0.0148	0.0139	0.0127
Mean	18.93	18.90	18.87	18.78	18.02	17.63	16.94	0.0167	0.0166	0.0161	0.0159	0.0147	0.0137	0.0125
SE (m)	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.016	0.021	0.022	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
CD (5%)	0.031	0.026	0.031	0.031	0.045	0.062	0.064	0.0002	0.0003	0.0004	0.0004	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002

T₈ (18.95 cm) which was at par with T₇ (18.94 cm), T₁₀ (18.94 cm), T₃ (18.92 cm), followed by T₂ (18.91 cm), T₉ (18.90 cm), and T₄ (18.90 cm) as compared to T₁ - control (18.90 cm). The similar pattern was recorded till 6th month of storage. At 8th month the trend varied and significantly highest seedling length was recorded for T₆ - (18.32 cm) which was at par with T₅ (18.30 cm), followed by T₈ (18.26 cm), T₇ (18.22 cm), while the lowest was recorded in T₁ control (15.12 cm). It may be due to proper supply of water, nutrients and due to induction of photosynthesis and synthesis of alpha - amylase, protease and other hydrolytic enzymes which appear to induce the activity of gluconeogenic enzymes during early stage of seed germination causing higher seedling length. Roopashree *et al.* (2018) and Parihar *et al.* (2019) had recorded the similar trend in soybean and okra seed, respectively.

Table 4. Effect of different seed treatments on field emergence (%) in wheat during storage at different months.

Treatments	Months after storage						
	Field emergence (%)						
	Initial	2	4	6	8	10	12
T ₁ (Control)	91.33 (72.85)	89.33 (70.91)	87.33 (69.12)	86.00 (68.03)	80.33 (63.65)	78.33 (62.33)	76.00 (60.64)
T ₂	91.33 (72.85)	89.66 (71.22)	88.00 (69.71)	86.66 (68.55)	85.33 (67.45)	83.33 (65.88)	81.00 (64.13)
T ₃	92.33 (73.90)	90.33 (71.86)	88.33 (70.00)	87.33 (69.12)	86.33 (68.27)	84.33 (66.65)	82.33 (65.12)
T ₄	91.33 (72.85)	89.33 (70.91)	87.66 (69.41)	86.33 (68.27)	84.66 (66.92)	82.66 (65.37)	80.33 (63.65)
T ₆	93.66 (75.40)	93.00 (74.62)	91.33 (72.85)	90.00 (71.55)	89.00 (70.60)	87.66 (69.41)	86.66 (68.55)
T ₅	94.33 (76.21)	94.00 (75.82)	93.00 (74.65)	91.00 (72.51)	89.33 (70.91)	88.33 (70.00)	87.33 (69.12)
T ₇	92.66 (74.26)	91.33 (72.85)	89.33 (70.91)	88.66 (70.30)	87.66 (69.70)	86.00 (68.00)	84.33 (66.65)
T ₈	92.66 (74.26)	91.33 (72.85)	89.33 (70.91)	89.00 (70.65)	88.66 (70.30)	86.33 (68.27)	85.00 (67.18)
T ₉	91.33 (72.85)	89.66 (71.22)	88.00 (70.00)	86.66 (68.55)	85.00 (67.18)	83.00 (65.62)	80.66 (63.89)
T ₁₀	92.66 (74.26)	91.00 (72.53)	89.00 (70.61)	88.33 (70.00)	87.33 (69.12)	85.33 (67.45)	83.33 (65.88)
Mean	92.36 (73.92)	90.90 (72.41)	89.13 (70.72)	88.00 (69.70)	86.40 (68.33)	84.53 (66.81)	82.70 (65.39)
SE(m)	0.363	0.394	0.402	0.533	0.234	0.240	0.256
CD (5%)	1.080	1.170	1.190	1.680	0.696	0.714	0.760

Figure in parentheses indicates arc sine value.

Almost similar trends were recorded for seedling dry weight (g) which decreased from initial to last month of the storage. On an average, dry weight per seedling at the beginning and end of storage period was 0.0167g and 0.0125 g, respectively (Table 3). In the initial month of storage, significantly maximum seedling dry weight (g) was recorded for T₆ (0.0173 g) which was at par with T₅ (0.0171 g), followed by T₈ (0.0169 g) which was at par with T₇ (0.0167 g), T₁₀ (0.0167 g), T₃ (0.0167 g), followed by T₂ (0.0165 g), T₉ (0.0165 g), T₄ - (0.0165 g) while the lowest was recorded in T₁ (0.0164 g). The same pattern was recorded till six months of storage. At 8th month the trend varied and significantly maximum dry weight (g) was recorded for T₆ (0.0156 g) which was at par with T₅ (0.0154 g), followed by T₈ (0.0152 g), T₇ (0.151 g) as compared to T₁ (0.0134 g). The same pattern was recorded till end of storage period. At the end of storage, significantly maximum dry weight (g) was recorded for T₆ - (0.0138 g) which was at par with T₅ - (0.0136 g) while the lowest was recorded in T₁ (0.0109 g). The dry matter production of seedling is the ultimate manifestation of physiological vigour. This is a physiological phenomenon influenced by reserve metabolites, enzyme activities and growth regulators. Similar result was recorded by Sharma *et al.* (2017) in maize.

Table 5. Effect of different seed treatments on 100-seed weight (g) in wheat during storage.

Treatments	Months after storage						
	100-seed weight (g)						
	Initial	2	4	6	8	10	12
T ₁ (Control)	5.40	5.27	5.20	5.30	5.34	5.22	5.30
T ₂	5.41	5.29	5.23	5.32	5.36	5.25	5.32
T ₃	5.42	5.30	5.34	5.34	5.39	5.28	5.35
T ₄	5.43	5.34	5.39	5.38	5.40	5.33	5.35
T ₅	5.50	5.44	5.41	5.45	5.48	5.37	5.42
T ₆	5.52	5.48	5.43	5.49	5.51	5.41	5.44
T ₇	5.45	5.37	5.40	5.40	5.42	5.35	5.37
T ₈	5.48	5.40	5.41	5.42	5.44	5.35	5.40
T ₉	5.55	5.52	5.50	5.54	5.56	5.45	5.50
T ₁₀	5.58	5.56	5.53	5.56	5.58	5.48	5.53
Mean	5.47	5.40	5.38	5.42	5.45	5.35	5.40
SE (m)	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.004
CD (5%)	0.011	0.011	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.014	0.012

Irrespective of seed treatments, the vigour of the stored seed decreased with advancement in the storage period. Mean seedling vigour index - I and II recorded at the beginning and end of storage was 1824 to 1564 and 1.612 to 1.149, respectively (Figs 1 and 2). The decrease in vigour index may be due to decline in germination, decrease in seedling length and seedling dry weight. In initial months of storage, significantly higher seedling vigour indices - I and II were recorded in treatment T₆ (1874 and 1.707) which were at par with T₅ (1860 and 1.678), followed by T₈ (1834 and 1.633), T₇ (1830 and 1.615). The same pattern was recorded till six months of storage. At 8th month the trend varied and significantly highest vigour indices - I and II were recorded in treatment T₆ (1753 and 1.492) which was at par with T₅ (1741 and 1.474), followed by T₈ (1723

and 1.434). Same pattern was recorded till 12th months of storage and significantly higher vigour indices - I and II were recorded in T₆ (1670 and 1.311) which was at par with T₅ (1658 and 1.283) as compared to T₁ control (1346 and 0.970) after 12 months of storage. Higher vigour index in (T₆) may be due to more germination, seedling length and no infection and infestation by storage fungi and insects, respectively. The polymer treatment provides protection from the stress imposed by accelerated ageing. Similar results were reported by Parihar *et al.* (2019) and Sharma and Dhiman (2017) in paddy.




Fig. 1. Effect of different seed treatments on vigour index - I during storage in wheat.




Fig. 2. Effect of different seed treatments on vigour index – II during storage in wheat.

The field emergence declined with advancing storage period due to different seed treatments. Mean field emergence recorded at the beginning and end of storage period was 92.36 and 82.70%, respectively (Table 4). Initially significantly highest field emergence (%) was recorded for T₆ (94.33 %) which was at par with T₅ (93.66%), followed by T₈ (92.66 %), T₇ (92.66%), T₁₀ (92.66%), T₃ (91.33%), T₉ (91.33%), and T₄ (91.33%) while the lowest was recorded in control (91.33%). The same pattern was observed till six months. At the 8th month the trend varied and significantly highest field emergence (%) was recorded for T₆ (89.33%), which was at par with T₅ (89.00 %) followed by T₈ (88.66 %), T₇ (87.66%), T₁₀ (87.33 %), T₃ - (86.33 %), T₂ (85.33 %), T₉ (85.00 %), T₄ (84.66 %) as compared to T₁ (80.33 %). Same pattern was recorded till the end of storage period and significantly highest field emergence was recorded for T₆ (87.33%) which was at par with T₅ (86.66 %) as compared to T₁ (76.00%). Seed treated with polymer and fungicides showed significantly higher field emergence over control. This could be due to protection of seeds

by the chemicals and polymers against micro-organism, which in turn help in better establishment of seedling. The polymer and fungicide treatment keep seed intact, as it acts as binding material. It covers the minor cracks and aberration on the seed coat. The results recorded for field emergence are similar to the finding in radish (Kotia *et al.* 2020).

The 100-seed weight (g) increased and decreased gradually during the storage period as per fluctuations in the prevalent temperature and humidity. On an average, 100-seed weight recorded at the beginning and the end of storage period was 5.47 and 5.40 g, respectively (Table 5). Initially highest 100-seed weight was recorded for treatment T₁₀ (5.58 g), followed by T₉ (5.55 g), T₆ (5.52 g), T₅ (5.50 g), T₈ (5.48 g), T₇ (5.45 g), T₄ (5.43 g), T₃ (5.42 g) and T₂ (5.41g) over T₁ (5.40 g). The similar pattern was recorded at the end of storage period. The study revealed that seed quality parameters decreased with the advancement in storage period. Results showed that seeds treated with polymer @ 3 ml/kg of seed + vitavax 200 @ 2 g/kg of seeds (T₆) and vitavax 200 @ 2 g/kg of seeds (T₅) were significantly superiority over control (T₁) for most of seed quality parameters during storage. Thus it may be concluded that for enhancing storability and maintaining the seed quality during storage, the seeds of wheat can either be treated with polymer coating @ 3 ml/kg of seed + vitavax 200 @ 2 g/kg of seed or vitavax 200 @ 2 g/kg of seed.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the authorities of Department of Seed Science and Technology, CSKHPKV, Palampur for providing necessary laboratory facility and support for carrying out the study.

References

- Abdul Baki and Anderson JD 1973. Vigour deterioration in soybean by multi criteria. *Crop Sci.* **13**: 630-633.
- Kotia K, Dhiman KC and Kanwar R 2020. Effect of seed treatments on quality and storability of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) seeds. *Agri. Res. J.* **57**: 414-424.
- Kumar V, 2007. Effect of seed coating with polymer, fungicide and insecticide on seed quality in cotton during storage. *Karnataka J. Agri. Sci.* **20**: 137-139.
- Padhi SK, Behera S, Mishra SP, Padhiary AK and Nayak B 2017. Effect of seed coating materials on seed quality during storage of paddy. *J. Pharm. and Phyto.* **6**: 1263-1279.
- Parihar P, Dhiman KC, Kapila RK and Kanwar R 2019. Effect of coating on quality of okra seeds in storage. *Seed Res.* **47**: 36-43.
- Roopashree B, Joll RB, Ashok SS and Motagi BN 2018. Effect of polymer coating and fungicide on storage performance of kabuli chickpea varieties. *Inter. J. Cur. Micro. App. Sci.* **7**: 954-961.
- Sheoran OP, Tonk DS, Kaushik LS, Hasija RC and Pannu RS 1998. Statistical software package for agricultural research workers. *Recent Advances in Information Theory, Statistics & Computer Applications* by Hooda DS and Hasijia RC, Department of Mathematics, Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar. pp. 139-143.
- Sharma J, Dhiman KC, Sharma JK, and Kumar R 2017. Effect of seed coating on seed yield and related parameters in quality protein maize hybrid HQPM 1. *Himachal J. Agri. Res.* **43**: 68-72.
- Sharma A and Dhiman KC 2017 Effect of seed coating with synthetic polymer and chemicals on seed quality and storability of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Himachal J. Agri. Res.* **43**:102-111.

(Manuscript received on 11 June 2021; revised on 04 February 2022)